Sanction of Estimates of Tanks in Chamarajnagar Taluk.

*Q.—99. Sri U. M. MADAPPA (Chamarajanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the reasons for not sanctioning the estimates of (*i*) Kagalvadi Tank, (*ii*) Muruthubinakere, (*iii*) Bandigere Tank, and (*iv*) Syndigere Tank in Chamarajanagar Taluk though they were prepared long back ;

(*b*) the offices in which they are pending ;

(*c*) since how long they are pending ;

(*d*) whether they were inculded in the Minor Irrigation programme during 1957-58 and 1959-60 ;

(*e*) when they will be sanctioned and included under minor irrigation scheme ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) The estimates for these works have been got up and are under scrutiny in the Department. They will be taken up for execution after due scrutiny and competent sanction.

(*b*) and (*c*)—

	Name of the Work		Office where the estimate is pending.	
1	Kagalwadi Tank	...	Assistant Engineer, Chamarajanagar Sub-Division.	October 1959.
2	Muruthubinakere	...	Do	January 1960.
3	Bandigere Tank	...	Do	November 1959.
4	Syndigere Tank	...	Superintending Engineer, Mysore Circle.	February 1960.

(*d*) Kagalwadi Tank and Muruthubinakere have been included in the Minor Irrigation programme of 1959-60. The other two are yet to be included.

(*e*) The estimates for these works will be sanctioned if found feasible and taken up for execution as early as possible.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—1959-60ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿನ ಮೈನರ್ ಇರಿಗೇಷನ್ ಪ್ರೋಗ್ರಾಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಾಗಲವಾಡಿ ಟ್ಯಾಂಕನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದರ ಅಂದಾಜು ಸಹ ತಯಾರಾಗಿದ್ದಿತಲ್ಲವೆ ?

†Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Not necessarily.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Whether the Government is aware that it had answered such a question last year stating that estimates were already prepared and that they were under scrutiny ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I might have stated. When we include certain works in the minor irrigation programme it is always subject to the estimates and works proving feasible.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Even though estimates were prepared long back, why is it that they are going from one office to another without taking a final shape ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is inevitable. It is in the process of finalisation. It must go through several offices.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—But the delay in this case is too much.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is a matter of opinion. These estimates will have to go up and come down.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Is it the opinion of the Hon'ble Minister that during this year not even one minor

irrigation work should be taken up in Chamarajanagar Taluk ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is the inference of the Hon'ble Member.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Will the Government direct the concerned authorities to see that these estimates are sanctioned early ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Government have issued instructions to all the Engineers to investigate and take up all feasible projects and work tham out.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—I wanted to know in regard to this particular project ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—That depends upon its merits.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Provided it is feasible and if there is a provision, why should it not be sanctioned ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—If it is feasible, it will be sanctioned.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಬಂಡೀಗೆರೆ ಟ್ಯಾಂಕು ಮತ್ತು ಸಿಂದೀಗೆರೆ ಟ್ಯಾಂಕು ಇವುಗಳ ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟು 1952ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಯಾರ್ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಎರಡೂ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಪೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಇಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲವಲ್ಲ. ನೀವು ಊಹಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಅನಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—It was prepared in 1952.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I have no information to that effect.

Prohibition Cases in Hassan District.

Q.*—142. **Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA (Belur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of prohibition cases launched in Arehalli hobli of Belur and also in Sakaleshpur taluk of Hassan District from March 1959 to February 1960 ;

(*b*) out of these how many cases were detected (i) for illicit distillation of arrak, (ii) illicit tapping of toddy, and (iii) brandy smuggling, in these parts during the above period (itemwise information to be furnished ?

A.—**Sri N. RACHIAH** (Minister for Agriculture, Excise and Social Welfare).—

(*a*) 607 cases. (from March 1959 to 15th February 1960).

(*b*) (i) 219 cases.
(ii) 102 cases.
(iii) Nil.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—You are kind enough to tell us that in 102 cases, you have filed cases against illicit tapping. Is it only in Sakaleshpur or also it include Belur ?

†**Sri N. RACHIAH.**—Sakaleshpur taluk 188 and Belur taluk 20.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Is it a fact that these people have connived with some gentlemen and that they are allowed to tap indiscriminately ?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—That is why they have been booked and action is taken against them.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Sir, you are kind enough to tell us that they have not smuggled Brandy. Is it a fact that the police people are also conniving with them in this ?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—That is not a fact, Sir.

Sri M. MALLIKARJUNA SWAMY.—May I know from the Hon'ble Minister out of the persons involved, how many are Harijans and how many are non-Harijans ?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—The question of Harijan and non-Harijan does not arise here.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, may I know the number of trees tapped ?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—That information is not available.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, the question is about illicit tapping. I want to know the number of trees that were tapped.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister says that the information is not with him.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know at least how many were convicted out of these cases ?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—That is the answer pertaining to Belur and Sakaleshpur Taluks.